

IceAct:

Hybrid cosmic ray measurements using the IceAct telescopes in coincidence with the IceCube and IceTop detectors

Merlin Schaufel (Presenter), Larissa Paul, Matthias Plum for the IceCube Collaboration.Thomas Bretz, Giang Do, John W. Hewitt, Frank Maslowski, Florian Rehbein, Johannes Schäfer and Adrian Zink

IceAct: SiPM based IACTs for IceCube Merlin S. | III. Physikalisches Institut RWTH Aachen











ERLANGEN CENTRE FOR ASTROPARTICLE PHYSICS



Federal Ministry of Education and Research

Science case and overview:

Hybrid detection provides:

- Electromagnetic component (IceAct telescopes)
- Particle footprint on ground (IceTop detector)
- In-Ice muon reconstruction (IceCube in-ice)

Science goals:

- Hybrid cosmic ray composition studies
- Calibration of IceCube/IceTop geometry and energy reconstruction
- Low energy cosmic ray veto capability for neutrino studies





Baseline design:

IceAct telescope design:

- Fresnel lens, f~D~0.5m, fully enclosed design with heated front window (max. 100W)
- 61 SiPM based pixel (SensL J)
- Solid PMMA Winston cone light concentrators
- 1.5°/Pixel ~ 12° total Field-of-View
- TARGET-C/T5TEA based DAQ:
 - 1 GS/s 64 channel frontend
 - 4 Pixel sum-trigger (patch)
 - Next-Neighbor patch coincidence







IceAct project status:





2019: Two IceAct telescopes with 61 pixel installed, both operate since then

2020: DAQ unification and heating upgrade, infrastructure installed for a full IceAct station featuring 7 telescope (~36 deg. Field of view)

2021: No pole activities (Covid-19), start to build 6 new telescopes (4 in Germany, 2 in the US) to complete the station when possible.





Data taking and Performance:

- Successful data taking since 2019 with different DAQ designs
- Former duty cycle estimation of >20% is realistic
- Aurora and moon light pose no major problem for the operation
- Snow and ice buildup on the lens is reduced due to a new heating concept but is still under investigation as the main technological challenge (High power budget)
- Coincident detection of air-shower and synchronization events as default operation:

Right: Example event with a trigger in both telescopes, an IceTop signal and a reconstructed in-ice muon









Data processing:

- 1. Event building: Detect coincidences over event timestamps and build hybrid events containing IceAct/IceTop/IceCube data.
- 2. Processing:
 - a. IceAct: Image cleaning + simple Hillas center-of-gravity
 - b. IceCube: In-Ice Muon log-likelihood reconstruction

Data set for this study:

- Single day of data during good conditions with both telescope and IceCube
- No final analysis level reconstruction (loose IceCube quality cuts, simple Hillas image analysis), **proof-of-principle!**



In-Ice Muon surface positions:





 Extrapolation of the in-ice (online) muon reconstruction to the surface clearly shows the sensitive area of a single telescope (left) and the stereo telescope events (right) for one day of data. >1000 Coincident stereo events per day.



Directional correlation:

U/V Correlation

- 24h of data, one telescope (roof)
- IceAct direction:
 - Simple hillas image parameters:
 - Position of image COG:

$$\Phi = tan^{-1} \left(\frac{Y_{COG}}{X_{COG}} \right) \qquad \Theta = \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\sqrt{X_{COG}^2 + Y_{COG}^2}}{f_{len}} \right)$$

- IceCube reconstruction:
 - Online MuonLLH reconstruction
- Transformation to U and V coordinates to avoid edge and periodic effects

Clear correlation without optimized reconstruction and quality cuts!



Directional correlation:



Correlation differences

- Mean reconstruction difference is ~2°, which is as expected from the reconstruction methods:
- Online muon reconstruction >2° uncertainty
- Intrinsic uncertainty of the COG image analysis due to the Cherenkov cone width of >1°

Outlook: Analysis with dedicated hybrid reconstruction very promising

Simulation overview:



Dataset:

- CORSIKA (FLUKAS + SIBYLL-2.3c) air shower simulation
 - Protons, helium, nitrogen, aluminium and iron from 3 TeV to 1 PeV (E⁻¹) under the CORISKA standard atmosphere for the South Pole
 - Data/mc comparison 100k proton and iron shower and 40k helium, nitrogen and aluminium are reweighting the MC to an H4a
 - For the mass composition analysis 65k proton and iron are split into 66% training sample and 33% test sample
- Complete detector simulation including the wavelength dependent IceAct optics, the SiPM and a full electronic simulation. The IceCube/IceTop response is simulated using the IceCube simulation framework



First data/MC comparison:





- General match is acceptable for almost no tuning
- Size match is improvable, different known factors are not yet fully calibrated:
 - Simulation energy range, simulated threshold, dynamic range calibration is slightly off
 - Obstruction through the heating system not yet implemented (~10%)



Hybrid composition simulation:





Method: Random Forest Regressor (3-Year IceCube composition analysis

topology). Input parameter:

Hillas image parameter:Primary Geometry:In-Ice parameter:Size, width, length, Distance to shower core, cos(zenith), Muon proxy

Summary and outlook:

Summary:

- Successful operation of two compact air-Cherenkov telescopes at the South Pole since 2019. Aurora and moon light due not severely impacting the experiment performance
- Coincident data showed a clear correlation between the reconstructed muon direction (IceCube) and the image center-of-gravity of the air shower detected by IceAct.
- First simulation results already show a decent Data/MC agreement and very promising capabilities for hybrid composition studies.

Outlook:

- Dedicated hybrid reconstruction (direction, energy, mass proxy) under development.
- All parts for the final simulation are tested, still fine tuning necessary
- Six new IceAct telescopes are currently in assembly or commissioning (Germany, USA) to complete a full seven telescope station (~36° FOV) at the south pole

Thank you for your attention! Any questions?

